الْهُيْ الْمُعَالَمُ الْمُعَالِمُ الْمُعِلَّمُ الْمُعَلِمُ الْمُعِلَّمُ الْمُعِلَّمُ الْمُعِلَّمُ الْمُعِلِمُ الْمُعِلَّمُ الْمُعِلَّمُ الْمُعِلِمُ الْمِعِلَمُ الْمُعِلِمُ الْمُعِمِي الْمُعِلِمُ الْمُعِلْمُ الْمُعِلِمُ الْمِعِلَمُ الْمُعِلِمُ الْمُعِلِمُ الْمُعِلِمُ الْمُعِلِمُ الْمُعِلْمُ الْمُعِلِمُ الْمُعِلِمُ الْمُعِلِمُ الْمُعِلِمُ الْمُعِلِمُ الْمُعِلِمُ الْمُعِلِمُ الْمُعِلَمُ الْمُعِلْمُ الْمُعِلِمُ الْمِ

electro-optical layer in which layer an electrode pattern is formed corresponding to a

predetermined segment pattern.

RESPONSE

Claims 1-12 have been cancelled and new claims 12-24 have been added so that claims 12-24 are now in the application.

The Examiner rejected the claims under 35 U.S.C. §112. In response the claims have been reworded. The invention in fact is based on a better understanding of the mechanism of light distribution during refraction in the integrated light guide device.

This knowledge results in a lot of freedom in the choice of the geometry and therewith in the functioning of devices architected according to the invention.

Methods according to the invention are thus not periodicity dependent as they are in prior art methods.

The possibility to choose a predetermined arbitrary length distribution for a row of segments of one type is surely not indicated in the prior art; to the contrary, such a freedom is strictly forbidden due to the forced periodicity which indeed was a necessity under the restricted understanding then available.

Dated: December / 2001

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph H. Golant Reg. No. 24,210

JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE

77 West Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois 60601-1692

(312) 269-1534

ER-2 THE

CH-1194715v1